

Data and trade flows

Some preliminary observations and findings

14 June 2021



Data and trade linkages

Data and policy issues	Trade and data	Restrictions on cross- border data flows	Effects and Responses
 Role in trade Platforms and dominance concerns Privacy Security Regulatory objectives Industrial policy objectives 	 Both a traded product and a facilitator of trade Role in value chains coordination of inputs and factors of production Key role in integration of services and manufacturing 	 Borne 	 Increased trade costs and reductions in trade Negotiation of international disciplines FTAs Digital agreements Plurilateral initiatives Scope of liberalisation? Approach to non trade issues?

How big are restrictions on data relative to other barriers to trade? Evidence from OECD services trade restrictiveness indices

- STRI scores from 0 (completely liberal) to 1 (completely restricted)
- Numerical representation of regulatory and policy measures
- Reflect measures applied on MFN basis
 - Preferential arrangements are not captured
- Key measures captured include:
 - Transfers predicated on having substantially similar privacy laws
 - Mandated local storage of data
 - Approval on a case by case basis?









More STRI scores



Data restrictions - share of all restrictions and restrictions on foreign entry (banking)



Impacts of restrictions on cross-border data flows



Focus on effects on dataintensive sectors

Computing and IT, telecoms, media, financial services, transport, distribution, wholesale and retail, and professional business services (such as accounting and legal services).

"Servitised manufacturing"

- An indicator of how much cross-border flows matter i.e. what would trade and GDP growth be in hypothetical if we moved from current situation to one in which transfers were highly restricted.
- Use STRI and econometric model of bilateral trade to compute effects
- Effects of own data restrictions dominate those imposed by partners
- For UK and France, effects by changes in restrictiveness vis a vis EU is dominant driver

Data and trade agreements

- Multilateral rules and commitments are weak
- Data provisions in FTAs and bespoke data agreements
 - Commitments to eliminate restrictions on cross-border trade. E.g. CPTPP, USMCA, UK-Japan, UK-EU TCA.
 - Australia-Singapore DEA, New-Zealand-Chile-Singapore DEPA
 - Disciplines on how potential trade offs between public policy interest and trade liberalisation objectives can be
 - CPTTP contains wording close to necessity test
- For developed countries, FTAs may not deliver additional liberalisation but grant against policy slippage
- For developing countries, substantial gains from *own* liberalisation
- Getting commitments from developing countries likely to require broader commitments on other services liberalisation issues plus support for regulatory reform
 - Optimal regulatory design a challenging task beyond the usual skill set of trade negotiators



Frontier Economics Ltd is a member of the Frontier Economics network, which consists of two separate companies based in Europe (Frontier Economics Ltd) and Australia (Frontier Economics Pty Ltd). Both companies are independently owned, and legal commitments entered into by one company do not impose any obligations on the other company in the network. All views expressed in this document are the views of Frontier Economics Ltd.